

A Quantitative Comparison of Advertising Elasticity for  
Small and Large American Companies

By

Richard W. Linden

MA, Concordia University, 2011

BS, San Diego State University, 2001

Proposal Submitted in Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
Doctorate in Business Administration

Walden University

May 2014

## Abstract

The purpose of the study was to contrast the advertising elasticities of several small business campaigns to similar campaigns conducted by larger businesses. This purpose was achieved by means of a quantitative, causal-comparative research design in which 328 randomly sampled CEO- and VP of Sales or Marketing-level participants, 164 from small companies and 164 from larger companies, were asked to disclose their companies' advertising spending, advertising campaign dates, and advertising campaign results expressed as revenue attributable to advertising for Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, newsprint, radio, magazine, and television advertising. These data, collected through Survey Monkey, were first stratified and utilized to conduct a matched pairs t test analysis designed to compare small and larger companies' advertising elasticity in the sub-channels of Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, newsprint, radio, magazine, and television advertising. Next, the data were used to fit two linear regression models, (a) Social Media Advertising Elasticity =  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Company Size} + \beta_2 \text{Company Industry} + \beta_3 \text{Price Trend of Good or Service} + \beta_4 \text{Advertising Season} + \varepsilon$ ; and (b) Traditional Advertising Elasticity =  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Company Size} + \beta_2 \text{Company Industry} + \beta_3 \text{Price Trend of Good or Service} + \beta_4 \text{Advertising Season} + \varepsilon$ . The results of the study cast light on the magnitude, significance, and nature of differences in advertising elasticity between small and large companies.